[25th July 1953

including a recent one from the hon. Member himself, have been received and carefully examined by Government. But the party is not entitled to a refund of a security duly forfeited under law."

Persons detained under the Preventive Detention Act.

* 1045 *Q.*—SRI G. RAMA RAO : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of persons who are still being detained by the Government under the Preventive Detention Act in jails;

(*b*) for what causes they are still being detained; and

(*c*) if there are none, what has become of Kothapalli Satyanarayana of Bhowtapalli village, Gudivada taluk, who was arrested and sent as a detenu to Cuddalore Central Jail in 1951?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" (*a*) No one is at present detained by the Government under the Preventive Detention Act.

" (*b*) Does not arise.

" (*c*) Sri Kothapalli Satyanarayana is not under preventive detention now. The order of detention against him was revoked on 12th July 1951 when he was in the Madras Mental Hospital for treatment. After release he has been detained in the Mental Hospital as a civil patient with reference to the orders of the Third Presidency Magistrate under section 15 of the Indian Lunacy Act, 1912. He is still a patient in the Madras Mental Hospital."

Prosecutions under the Removal of Civil Disabilities Act.

* 1046 *Q.*—SRI A. RATHINAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state how many persons have been prosecuted under the Removal of Civil Disabilities Act since its commencement?

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" Four hundred and twenty-one persons have been prosecuted so far under the Madras Removal of Civil Disabilities Act since its commencement."

Alleged re-employment of persons punished by the former Pudukkotlai Government.

* 1047 *Q.*—SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(*a*) how many persons dismissed or removed for corruption, suspicion, etc., from Pudukkottai State service by the former Pudukkottai State administration have been reinstated in service or the order of removal set aside by the Madras State Government after the merger; and

(*b*) the reasons for such action by the Madras Government?